UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/773,822 02/06/2004                             |                   | Lotfi Hedhli         | IR 3699 NP          | 7965             |
| 31684<br>ARKEMA INC                               | 7590 12/24/200    | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PATENT DEPA                                       | ARTMENT - 26TH FI | TUROCY, DAVID P      |                     |                  |
| 2000 MARKET STREET<br>PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3222 |                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                   |                      | 1792                |                  |
|   |                   |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                   |                      | 12/24/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |              | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| 10/773,822      |              | HEDHLI ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner     | Art Unit      |  |
|                 | DAVID TUROCY | 1792          |  |

|  | DAVID TUROCY   | 1792  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance v                                      | , or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection                               | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ension and the corresponding amount c<br>nortened statutory period for reply origin                              | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| <ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the properties</li></ul> | sideration and/or search (see NOT<br>v);<br>er form for appeal by materially red                                 | E below);<br>lucing or simplifying th                     |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.114. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).   | See attached Notice of Non-Cor   |   | ,  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-9 and 11-16.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  | be entered and an ex                                      | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | sufficient reasons why the affidavi  | t or other evidence is                                    | necessary and                            |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fails                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | of the status of the claims after en   | itry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| <ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}).  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
| /Timothy H Meeks/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792  |  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of the claims introduces new subject matter that was not present in the finally rejected claims and thus would require further consideration. Additionally, the added dependent claim adds subject matter to the other claims that did not require the present limitations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended claims are not entered for the reasons as set forth above and the arguments are directed at these newly amended claims and are thus deemed moot. As for the arguments with regards to the prior art references, these arguments are substantially the same as set forth in the prior response and the examiner maintains the position as established in the final office action dated 10/15/08.